

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

242

**CRWP-7413-2020
Date of decision: 23.11.2020**

Warsha @ Warisha and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 18 and 20 years respectively, have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction for protection of their life and liberty on the averments that petitioner No.1 after conversion performed marriage with petitioner No.2 on 13.09.2020 in accordance with the Muslim rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and they are apprehending danger to their life and liberty at their instance.

The petition has been contested by learned State Counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 who filed status report by way of affidavit of Vivek Chaudhary, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Punhana.

In the report, it has been mentioned that as per school certificate, date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 08.09.2000 and age of

petitioner No.1 is more than 18 years. In the report, it has further been mentioned that private respondents No.4 to 6 were also joined in the proceedings who made statements that neither they ever threatened the petitioners or harmed the petitioners and nor will do so in future.

At this stage, learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that now the petitioners do not have any apprehension of danger to their life and liberty and the petition may be disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh to take appropriate action for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners as and when the petitioners approach respondent No.2 in future in view of any reasonable apprehension of danger from respondents No.4 to 6.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh to look into the matter and take appropriate action in case any representation is made to him by the petitioners in future for protection of their life and liberty against danger from respondents No.4 to 6.

23.11.2020

Vinay

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No