

218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28799-2020

Date of Decision: 27.11.2020

Gurpreet Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

 Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

 None for respondents No.2 and 3.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R. No.0068, dated 21.08.2016, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 120-B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Tapa, District Barnala (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

2. Seen Office Report.

3. Service upon respondents No.2 and 3 has been effected, but none has put in appearance on their behalf, which shows that they do not dispute the compromise. Even otherwise, with the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, respondent No.2, with consent of respondent No.3, has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide the Panchayati Compromise (Annexure P-2) which is duly signed by them.

4. Needless to mention here that the Panchayati Compromise is

signed by petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 and witnesses to the compromise with respect to both parties, therefore, according to the Ld. Cousnel for the petitioners, this Panchayati Compromise would cover all the petitioners. Further, both the parties have parted their ways in accordance with the said compromise and will very shortly file petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, in accordance with the said Panchayati Compromise.

5. In view of the Panchayati Compromise (Annexure P-2), and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainants have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.0068, dated 21.08.2016, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 120-B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Tapa, District Barnala (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

27.11.2020

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No