

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.28658 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.09.2020

Durvesh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. R.S. Saroha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this 2nd petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.425 dated 10.11.2019 registered under Sections 216, 323, 342, 365, 386, 389, 406, 506, 120-B IPC at Police Station Kundli, District Sonapat.

The earlier one was dismissed as withdrawn on 05.08.2020.

As per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of the complainant, his aunt namely Pusi along with a woman namely Meena came to the petitioner and asked the petitioner to give Rs.5 lacs to the said Meena. Thereafter, on 28th July, he transferred some amount and again on 17th October, he transferred Rs.1 lac in the said account of Meena. Thereafter, when the complainant went to take the amount back at the house of Meena, there were 03 persons already present at the spot and they started beating the complainant and they were having fire arm in their hands and they also snatched Rs.5,000/- from the complainant.

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

Thereafter, the accused persons put off his clothes and made him nude and started blackmailing him by demanding Rs.50 lacs on the pretext that they will make the video viral on Facebook.

Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner is in custody for the last about 05 months and challan has been presented before the trial Court and therefore, he may be granted the concession of bail.

In reply, counsel for the State has argued that the petitioner is involved in 03 more FIRs of similar nature and he has taken active part in blackmailing the complainant in a manner, as explained in the FIR. The details of the FIRs are already given in the order date dated 27.05.2020 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, while dismissing his regular bail application.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, considering the fact that the petitioner is involved in 03 more FIRs of similar nature, no ground for grant of bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

24.09.2020

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No