

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-28445 of 2020

Date of Decision: 01.12.2020

(through video conferencing)

Farid Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.39 dated 04.02.2020 under Sections 392 and 394 IPC (Sections 120-B and 34 IPC added later on) and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sector 9-A, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case in hand. He further submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 04.02.2020. Hence, a prayer has been made for concession of regular bail since the trial is unlikely to conclude in the near future.

In compliance of order dated 23.09.2020, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sant Kumar, has apprised the Court that Annexure P-4 which is an affidavit sworn in by the complainant, is an authentic document. He further submits that the delay in framing of the charges has been due to the outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Heard.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has been in custody since 04.02.2020 and due to the outbreak of the pandemic Covid-19, the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future, I deem it is a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. Without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

01.12.2020

D.Bansal

Whether speaking/ reasoned :	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/ No