

CRWP-7304-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7304-2020

Date of Decision: 17.09.2020

Tamanna Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner solemnized her marriage with respondent No.4, who initially told her that he was earning Rs.15,000/- per month, but after the marriage, she came to know that he was jobless and a chronic drug addict. Moreover, the petitioner has now been disowned by her parents as she got married with respondent No.4 against the wishes of her parents as respondent No.4 belongs to a lower strata of society. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 27.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala,

for redressal of her grievance.

CRWP-7304-2020

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the representation dated 27.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) is decided by respondent No.2 within a stipulated period.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh Dullat, Addl. A. G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the hearing of the present petition, but without going into the merits of the case, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, is directed to look into the representation dated 27.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) made by the petitioner and decide the same within one month after receiving the copy of this order, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

17.09.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No