

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CR 4382 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 19, 2020

Sukhwinder Singh @ PappuPetitioners
Vs.
Simranjit Singh ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU.
-.-

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.
-.-

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 15 (5) of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 (for short 'the Act') against the impugned orders passed by the Courts below, whereby petitioner has been evicted from the shop in question.

At the outset, it has been pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioner that during the execution proceedings, vacant and peaceful possession of the shop in dispute has been handed over to landlord/ respondent.

In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

February 19, 2020 (MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
sanjay JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/ No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.