

CWP No.14625 of 2020

Date of Decision : 17.09.2020

Jai Parkash Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Sarvjit Singh Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner had initially applied for the post of PTI, in the year 2006, but vide order dated 08.04.2020, Hon'ble the Supreme Court while upholding the decision of the Division Bench, setting aside the selection, issued directions for conducting fresh selection by inviting applications from candidates who had applied earlier.

3. Learned counsel contends that although in the year 2006, the petitioner had applied under the general as well as BCB category, yet his candidature was considered only under the BCB category but now pursuant to the petitioner applying online in response to notice inviting applications, the petitioner instead of being considered under the BCB category, is being considered under the general category, despite having submitted a representation Annexure P/8 dated 02.09.2020.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and on the basis of instructions from Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur, ADA, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, states that the representation Annexure P/8 dated 02.09.2020, submitted by the petitioner, is under consideration and would be decided shortly.
6. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.
7. In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of as not calling for any further orders except for directing the respondent to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/8 dated 02.09.2020, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is made clear that the candidature of the petitioner would be subject to the decision of the representation by the respondents.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

September 17, 2020
'PS-Rajesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No