

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215)

CRM-M-28291 of 2020

Date of Decision:10.11.2020

Surinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab
Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

All the cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Pursuant to the order of this court dated 16.10.2020, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, is again present in court (via video conference) and has submitted that as regards the allegations made in the present FIR, the petitioners are not found to be involved at all, with the allegations against the present petitioners in fact coming in the statement recorded under the provisions of Section 161 of the Cr.P.C of one Chhinder Pal Kaur, who alleged that the petitioners had duped her of some money on the allurements of recruiting her son in the Railway Protection Force.

He submits that that matter is being inquired into and if the allegations are found to be *prima facie* correct, naturally a separate FIR would be recorded for that purpose.

As regards the present case, i.e. FIR no.147, dated 14.07.2020,

registered at Police Station Defence Colony, Bathinda, he submits that the petitioners are not required in the investigation and consequently they are not sought to be arrested also.

That being so, in view of the aforesaid statement of the SSP, this petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

10.11.2020
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No