

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14640-2020

Date of Decision: 17.09.2020

MUNISH SAINI AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners have preferred this petition for issuance of an appropriate writ/order especially in the nature of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ/order/direction for setting aside the impugned action of the respondents vide which the respondents have decided to replace the petitioners by regularly selected candidates in pursuance of advertisement No. 5/2019 dated 20.06.2019.

Petitioners are working on contractual basis on the post of Clerks under outsourcing policy. Earlier the petitioners and others filed three sets of writ petitions i.e. ***CWP No. 2479 of 2017 (O&M)*** titled ***Ashok Kumar and others Versus State of Haryana and others***, ***CWP No. 304 of 2017 (O&M)*** titled

Munish Saini and others Versus State of Haryana and others and ***CWP No. 6244 of 2018 (O&M)*** titled ***Munish Kumar Versus State of Haryana and others***. The writ petitions were allowed and it was observed that the petitioners therein can only be replaced by regularly selected candidates. Now, regularly selected candidates are sought to be selected in view of the Advertisement No. 5/2019 dated 20.06.2019. The petitioners cannot be heard to say that they are being replaced by contractual employees under outsourcing policy.

In view of the aforesaid, no indulgence can be granted in favour of the petitioners. This petition is accordingly dismissed.

17.09.2020

shabha

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No