

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11506-2014

Date of Decision:-19.02.2020.

Sunil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shromani Gurudwara Prabandhak Committee, Amritsar

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Thiara, Advocate for the respondent.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

There was no representation for the petitioner when this case was taken up for hearing on 29.08.2019 and again on 07.11.2019.

On 03.12.2019, Mr. H.S. Thiara, learned counsel for the respondent, relied upon the judgment in ***LPA-640-2014*** titled ***'Mahavir Singh Vs. Shiromani Gurdwara Parbandhak Committee*** and contended that the writ petition deserves to be dismissed.

Taking note of the fact that there was no representation for the petitioner on the earlier two dates of hearing, the case was adjourned at the request of Mr. Sandeep Bansal, learned proxy counsel appearing for Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner. It was however made clear that a last and opportunity was being given to the petitioner to address arguments, failing which, the writ petition was liable to be dismissed.

Despite the aforestated order, there is no representation for the petitioner or his learned counsel, yet again, in spite of the matter being passed over.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

February 19, 2020.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.