

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been granted seniority and pay fixation at par with other candidates who joined in the same batch as the petitioner but the petitioner has not been granted arrears of salary, whereas his batch mates were granted arrears of salary also. Learned counsel states that the petitioner has served a legal notice Annexure P/9 dated 12.03.2020, claiming arrears of salary along with interest, but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice Annexure P-9 dated 12.03.2020, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion to respondent No.3 only.

5. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for

the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice Annexure P-9 dated 12.03.2020, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

September 17, 2020
‘PS-Rajesh’

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>