

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7583-2020

Date of decision: 24.9.2020

Amrik Singh**.....Petitioner.****vs.****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents.****CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR**

Present: - Mr. Narinder Kumar Awasthi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Senior Deputy Advocate
General, Punjab.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner prays for issuance of a writ of mandamus to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, the second respondent, to protect his life and liberty. The petitioner perceives a threat from the fourth respondent. He seeks a further direction to the Station House Officer, Police Station Khanouri, District Sangrur, the third respondent, to file a report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C. in relation to FIR No. 112 dated 21.10.2019 on the file of Police Station Khanouri, registered under Sections 306 and 34 IPC.

Upon instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh, Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, would inform this Court that a cancellation report has already been filed before the jurisdictional criminal Court on 13.8.2020 in relation to the subject FIR.

In the light of this statement, Mr. Narinder Kumar Awasthi, learned counsel for the petitioner, would inform this Court that his client would take recourse to appropriate remedies available to him under the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. He would however state that the threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner still remains and that appropriate orders may be issued to the police authorities to extend protection to him.

Perusal of the representation dated 2.9.2020 (Annexure P-2) addressed by the petitioner to the second respondent, viz., the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, indicates that he specifically stated therein that he received a death threat.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the said allegation or the veracity thereof, it is not necessary to put the fourth respondent on notice or afford him an opportunity of hearing. It would suffice at this stage if the second respondent looks into the matter and takes appropriate action, if necessary.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, the second respondent, to consider the petitioner's representation dated 2.9.2020 (Annexure P-2) on its own merits and take appropriate action thereon, including extending protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner, if warranted, in accordance with the due procedure.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

24.9.2020

preeti

PREETI
2020.09.24 19:09
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no