

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 14967 of 2020
Date of Decision: 21.09.2020

LAXMAN AND OTHERS*Petitioners*
VS.
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...*Respondents*

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Though, counsel for the petitioners has fairly conceded that the impugned order (Annexures P-2 and P-3) is open to challenge by way of revision under Section 13(B)(2) of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961 (for short “the Act”) but because of COVID-19, the Commissioner of the Division is not hearing the revision petitions.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana has submitted that if any such revision petition is filed as provided under the statute, the Commissioner shall definitely hear the revision petition.

In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is hereby dismissed as not pressed with liberty to the petitioners to file the statutory revision petition before the competent authority. If such a revision petition alongwith an application for stay is filed forthwith, the competent authority shall afford an opportunity of hearing either in person or through video conferencing to the petitioners or their representative or lawyer and shall decide atleast the application for stay within a period of one week thereafter.

[RAKESH KUMAR JAIN]
JUDGE

September 21, 2020
Ess Kay

[ASHOK KUMAR VERMA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : *Yes* / *No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes* / *No*