

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

**CRM-M-28026-2020 (O&M)
Date of Order:16.09.2020**

Jagmeet Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.268, dated 01.09.2020, registered under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station, Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

As per the case of the prosecution, Yogesh son of Narender Kumar Mehta was apprehended with 600 capsules PARVORIN-SPAS containing Tramadol Hydrochloride. The aforesaid Yogesh disclosed that he has purchased the narcotics from the petitioner and that is how the petitioner is sought to be indicted as an accused.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he is sought to be indicted as an accused on the statement of co-accused which is not admissible in the evidence.

On the other hand, Sh. Chetan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, has pointed out that the petitioner is a previous convict under the Punjab Excise Act, 1914. Yet another case under the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 is also pending against the petitioner.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this court is not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. In the considered view of this Court, custodial interrogation of the petitioner shall be necessary to unearth the supply chain of the narcotics.

Hence, the petition is dismissed.

Needless to point out that if the statement of learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana is found incorrect with respect to previous conviction, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for re-call of this order.

September 16, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No