

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.14549 of 2020
Date of Decision: 30.10.2020**

(Heard through Video Conferencing)

Megh Raj

..... Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Pardhuman Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf
of respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that son of the
petitioner is loanee of the Bank and the petitioner stood as one of the
guarantors. The petitioner gave his residential house as a collateral
security. The loanee committed default in making payment of the amount.
The petitioner being the guarantor is ready to pay the amount in lieu of his
residential house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the
petitioner made representation dated 11.08.2020 (Annexure P-2) to the
respondent-Bank for release of his residential property from the

respondent-Bank and to deposit the amount equivalent to market value of the property to the Bank, but still no action has been taken on his representation. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the directions are issued by this Court to take action on the pending representation moved by him within some stipulated period.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the respondent-Bank will take action on the pending representation made by the petitioner.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and by considering the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner for taking action on the pending representation, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-State Bank of India to take action on the pending representation made by the petitioner dated 11.08.2020 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the presence of the petitioner is required while taking action on the pending representation, an intimation be sent to him for appearance on a particular date.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

October 30, 2020

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No