

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-28295-2020(O&M)
Date of Order:05.10.2020

CHARAN SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Arora Bharti, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on
account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

On 17.09.2020, the following order was passed:-

“The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.163, dated 31.08.2020, registered under Section 379 IPC, at Police Station Bhattu Kalan.

As per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner is alleged to have stolen 2 heaps of peanut crop from the fields of the first informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the present FIR has been registered as counter blast to the FIR registered by the petitioner's mother Smt. Daya Wanti, against the first informant on 11.05.2020. He further contends that, although, the police has already recovered the peanuts, however it is yet to be proved that the aforesaid peanuts belong to the first informant.

Notice of motion for 05.10.2020.

On the request of this court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to

furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel appearing for the State, on instructions from ASI Bahl Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation, cooperated and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the interim order dated 17.09.2020, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

October 05, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No