

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.7342 of 2020
Date of Decision: 18.09.2020

Aanchal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of U. T., Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate0
for the petitioners.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

(Heard through Video Conferencing)

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA J. (ORAL)

By way of instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Chandigarh, on 10.09.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, APP. U.T., Chandigarh, at the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Learned APP for the UT has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Chandigarh, is hereby directed to look into the said representation dated 10.09.2020 (Annexure P-4) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of.

September 18, 2020

rittu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No