

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH***

(The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing)

222

**CRM-M-28401-2020 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 27.11.2020**

Nasirudeen & Anr.

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARMINDER SINGH MADAAN

Present: Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Ghuman, DAG, Punjab.

HARMINDER SINGH MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

This is a second petition for grant of regular bail in a case involving recovery amounting to commercial quantity. The first petition filed by the petitioners had been dismissed by this Court on 19.02.2020.

Learned State Counsel informs that out of 14 prosecution witnesses cited, 02 PWs have since been examined whereas 05 PWs have been given up as unnecessary by the prosecution. In that way, the trial is moving at a fast pace. The State shall ensure appearance of remaining prosecution witnesses in the trial Court on the dates so fixed by the said Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the trial Court to complete the trial and decide it expeditiously. In that eventuality, he has sought permission to withdraw the present petition.

Permission is granted.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

The trial Court is directed to complete the trial and decide the case expeditiously by fixing short dates refusing to grant adjournment except for a justifiable reason, which be mentioned in the interim order. Of course, petitioner-accused would not seek any unnecessary adjournment for the purpose of cross-examination of PWs or cause delay in leading defence evidence.

The whole exercise be preferably completed within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order in the trial Court.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

27th November, 2020

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No