

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14733 of 2020 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 17.09.2020

Surinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

UT Administration and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

**H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Surinder Singh along with 09 others have approached this Court by way of filing the instant civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India against UT Administration, Atul Sethi, President and Subhash Chander, Vice President and 12 others craving for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to take action against the management committee of respondent No.4 for indulging in activities prejudicial to the society and its members including misappropriation of the funds undertaking unnecessary civil works to favour the suppliers and contractors and they be asked to act in accordance with law.

According to the petitioners, they have submitted a complaint dated 30.06.2020 (Annexure P3) in that regard to respondent No.2-Registrar Cooperative Societies, UT Chandigarh but no action in the matter has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal and Mr. Rahul Gautam, Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and state that the complaint sent by the petitioners has been received in the office of respondent No.2 and is now listed for 07.10.2020, however, the functioning of the office has been hampered on account of COVID-19 pandemic. Learned Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh states that respondent No.2-Registrar Cooperative Societies, UT Chandigarh would look into the complaint and if he finds that some action in the matter is required to be taken, then the needful be done at the earliest.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Registrar Cooperative Societies, UT Chandigarh to look into the complaint within a fixed time frame.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and in order to avoid unnecessary litigation between the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of, directing respondent No.2 to look into the grievances of the petitioners as contained in the complaint and if he finds that some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. However, since the petition is not being decided on merits, it shall be open to the petitioners to seek their legal remedy including approaching this Court again as per law, if they still feel aggrieved after disposal of their complaint.

17.09.2020

*sumit.k*

**(H.S. MADAAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No