

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

109

CRWP-7229-2020

Date of Decision: 16.09.2020.

Ritu and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Lekh Raj Nandal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Suvir Sehgal, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Ritu and Rahul, the petitioners before this Court, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 10 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 10. They have placed on record photographs and marriage certificate, Annexures P-4 and P3 respectively, evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation, Annexure P-5 to Superintendent of Police, Rohtak.

Counsel for the petitioners point out that due to inadvertent typographical error respondent No.2 has been wrongly described. He has made an oral prayer for correcting the description. His prayer is accepted. Respondent No.2 be read as “The Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, District Rohtak” in place of “The Superintendent of Police, Ambala, District Rohtak”. Office to carry out the necessary correction in the Memorandum of

Parties.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-5 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

16.09.2020

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No