

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

113

CRM-M-27945-2020 (O & M)

Date of Decision: September 15, 2020

IDRISH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner has challenged the orders dated 18.05.2020 and 21.05.2020 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Mewat whereby respondents No.5 and 6 have been granted regular bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against respondents No.5 and 6 were serious in nature and, therefore, they were not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

Heard.

It is apparent from the perusal of the FIR that respondents No.5 and 6 have not been named therein. Respondent no.5 is 21 years of age and is not involved in any other criminal case while respondent No.6 is 25 years old lady with a 07 months old infant. They do not have any criminal antecedents. They were in custody for over six months when they were granted regular bail. Therefore, I do not find any merit in this petition for cancellation of regular bail to respondents No.5 and 6.

The petition stand dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

**September 15, 2020
A.Kaundal**

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No