

Joginder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar Rohilla, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that although the case of the petitioner and other similarly situated persons for promotion to the post of Accountant was considered by respondent No.2 yet while other similarly situated employees were promoted to the post of Accountant by granting relaxation / exemption vide Annexure P/7, no relaxation / exemption was granted to the petitioner for reasons best known to the respondents. Learned counsel states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/5 dated 19.09.2019 to respondent No.1, but the same has not been decided till date and in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/5 dated 19.09.2019, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion to respondent No.1 only.

5. Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and states that he has no objection to the limited

prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/5 dated 19.09.2019, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 17, 2020
‘PS-Rajesh’

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>