

COCP No.1896 of 2020

Date of Decision : 03.12.2020

Satyavir Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Rajeev Arora and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India, read with Sections 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for deliberate and willful disobedience of order (Annexure P/1) dated 22.07.2019, in CWP No.19838 of 2019.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order (Annexure P-1) dated 22.07.2019, CWP No.19838 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the respondents to decide legal notices dated 25.03.2019 and 27.03.2019, within 03 months of receipt of certified copy of the order and on account of failure of the respondents to do the needful, the instant contempt petition was filed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that now reply has

been filed by the respondents along with order Annexure R-4 dated 26.11.2020, deciding the claim in legal notices dated 25.03.2019 and 27.03.2019 and in view thereof, he does not press the contempt petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same, with liberty to challenge order (Annexure R-4) dated 26.11.2020, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

- 5. The same is not opposed by learned State Counsel.
- 6. Accordingly, in the light of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is *disposed of* as not pressed with the liberty, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

December 03, 2020
'Rajneesh-Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No