

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202)

CRM-M-30021 of 2020

Date of Decision: 30.10.2020

Harjinder Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Buta Singh Bairagi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

All the cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

No reply of the AIG (NRI Wing) has been uploaded on to my computer, with Mr. Thind, learned State counsel, however stating that it has been filed in the Registry.

Upon query, he further submits that, as per the affidavit, no criminal case has been registered against the petitioners, and presently at least they are not being summoned for any enquiry or investigation.

That being so, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with however it directed that in case the petitioners are sought to be summoned at any time subsequently in respect of the allegations made in the complaint against them, then for the reasons already recorded in the previous order, if they are required to be summoned, they would be given at least 7 days prior notice, duly shown to be served upon

202)

CRM-M-30021 of 2020

-2-

them, before the date on which they are required to be present before any police officer/official.

30.10.2020
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: No