

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CWP No.14585 of 2020
Date of decision: 16.12.2020

Sanjeev Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of UT Chandigarh and Ors.

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate assisted by
Mr. Prateek Rathee, Addl. PP UT Chd.

Mr. ADS Sukhija, Advocate
for respondent Nos.7 and 8.

*(the aforesaid presence is being recorded through video
conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in Virtual Court).*

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner – Sanjeev Kumar stated to be aged 40 years has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with a prayer to direct the respondent No.1 to 6 to take appropriate legal action against respondent Nos. 7 and 8 who forcibly recovered the Car/Vehicle of the petitioner with the help of recovery agents and this conduct of respondent Nos.7 and 8 is against RBI Circulars dated 27.03.2020 (Annexure P-2) and dated 23.05.2020 (Annexure P-3), wherein, RBI granted 6 months loan moratorium and against notification dated 28.09.2006 (Annexure P-6) issued by respondent No.2, with a further prayer to direct the respondents to recover the vehicle

from the respondent Nos.7 and 8 and restore the possession to the petitioner. Petitioner also prays that respondent Nos. 2 and 3 be directed to take action against respondent Nos. 7 and 8 and cancel its license for violating circular dated 27.03.2020 (Annexure P-2) and circular dated 23.05.2020 (Annexure P-3).

Records of the case show that vide order dated 16.09.2020, notice of motion was issued. It was further directed that on petitioner depositing three installments with respondent Nos.7 and 8 – financiers, the vehicle in question would be handed back/restored to him.

Today, on the resumed hearing of case, learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that the vehicle has been returned to the petitioner.

At this stage, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 7 and 8 submits that the petitioner be directed to pay monthly installments regularly. He also submits that the installment for the Month of December has not been paid.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for and however, it is directed that the petitioner shall regularly pay the installments by the due date.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

16.12.2020
jyoti3

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No