

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14364-2020

Date of Decision : 14.09.2020

Parveen Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.Shokeen Singh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

As per pleadings on record, petitioner is an Ex-Serviceman and also suffers from a permanent disability.

Petitioner had applied for a Group-C post pursuant to advertisement dated 20.06.2019 (Annexure P-2) having been issued by the respondent-Haryana Staff Selection Commission against the Ex-Serviceman/Disabled Category. It has further been averred that the selection was to be made on the basis of a written examination. Petitioner appeared in the written examination and the result of which has been declared on 03.09.2020.

Name of the petitioner does not figure in the selected candidates in the category for which he had applied. Hence the instant writ petition.

The precise contention raised by the counsel for the petitioner is that in the multiple choice type written examination in which he had participated, petitioner had been permitted to take a carbon copy of the

OMR Sheet. Thereafter even the Answer Key had been put in public domain by the respondent-Commission. By tallying the response/answers given by the petitioner in the OMR Sheet with the Answer Key petitioner claims that he has secured 43 marks. As per result declared by the respondent-Commission on 03.09.2020 the cut off as per merit for the Ex-Serviceman/Disabled Category has been stipulated as 35 marks and yet the petitioner's roll number is not reflected amongst the selected candidates.

Counsel submits that in such regard representation dated 05.09.2020 (Annexure P-7) has already been submitted and that he would be satisfied if the writ petition were to be disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to look into the matter.

Prayer made by counsel is found to be just and reasonable.

In view of the above and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to examine the grievance of the petitioner against the backdrop of the representation dated 05.09.2020 (Annexure P-7) and to take a decision thereupon and convey the same to the petitioner within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Ordered accordingly.

Disposed of.

14.09.2020
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No