

103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.27672 of 2020(O&M)

Date of Decision:15.09.2020

Surjit Singh @ Goli

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner has tried to mislead the Court by mentioning first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C in the headnote of the petition, though the petitioner has given number of earlier petition CRM-M No.21510 of 2020 in para No.16 of the petition. Perusal of the order dated 11.08.2020 passed in CRM-M No.21510 of 2020 would show that the petition was dismissed by detailed order after noticing the incriminating facts. After dismissal of the petition, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for withdrawal of that petition. No order was passed on the prayer made.

Since the earlier petition i.e. CRM-M No.21510 of 2020 was dismissed on merits vide detailed order, therefore, this petition is dismissed.

15.09.2020

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No