

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27661-2020
Date of decision: 30.10.2020

Lakha Ram Devasar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. S. S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for the complainant.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner in case FIR No. 311 dated 23.06.2020, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506 of the IPC at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Sucha Singh, it is stated that petitioner has cheated him by taking an amount of Rs. 9,50,000/- on the pretext of providing govt. job to complainant's daughter and son-in-law, namely Veena and Amit, respectively.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case.

It is worth noticing here that on 28.09.2020, the petitioner has volunteered to hand over a demand draft of Rs. 4,00,000/- to the Investigating Officer within a period of three weeks from the date of passing of order.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has neither handed over the demand draft in terms of aforesaid order nor has come forward to join investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also opposed the bail on the ground that the present FIR was registered after conducting an inquiry as the petitioner allured complainant to part away aforesaid amount on the pretext of providing govt. job to his daughter and son-in-law.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner, I find no ground to grant him concession of anticipatory bail

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

30.10.2020

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No