

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14335-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.09.2020

Bikar Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Garg, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a direction to respondent Nos.2 and 5 for taking action against respondent Nos.6 and 7, having caused theft by felling down 7 trees from the *shamilat* land.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab, Sector 62, SAS Nagar, Mohali, to consider and decide the complaint dated 30.08.2019 (Annexure P-2), expeditiously.

Heard.

Having regard to the prayer made and without adverting to the

merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab, Sector 62, SAS Nagar, Mohali, to consider and decide the complaint dated 30.08.2019 (Annexure P-2), within eight weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed, within a period of six weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

14.09.2020
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No