

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27666-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 26, 2020.**

IQBAL SINGH Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Avtar Singh Bhatti, Advocate for
Mr. M.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the complainant.

LISA GILL, J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 38 dated 25.03.2019, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC, Police Station City Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the above said FIR was, in fact, registered on the basis of certain misunderstandings, which have since been resolved between the parties and the matter stands compromised. Moreover, the petitioner has since joined investigation pursuant to order dated 14.09.2020 and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation of the matter. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not involved in

any other criminal case. It is thus prayed that this petition be allowed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from SI Kanwaljit Singh, verifies that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required. It is further verified that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case. Learned counsel for the complainant verifies that the matter has been resolved between the parties and the complainant has no objection if this petition is allowed.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 14.09.2020 is made absolute. Needless to say, the petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

October 26, 2020
Sunil

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No