

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**CRM-M-27624-2020
Decided on : 26.10.2020**

Jagatpal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.D.K.Narula, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, for the complainant.

(The proceedings are being conducted through video conferencing,
as per instructions.)

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., by the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.246 dated 12.08.2020 lodged under Sections 323, 341, 506 and Sections 325, 307 IPC (added later on) at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

On 15.09.2020, the following order had been passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the parties are related to each other and the FIR was registered after a lapse of 08 days with oblique motives while Section 307 IPC was added after 17 days, besides the parties have entered into compromise on the intervention of respectable members of the society.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Surender Singh, Asstt. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent / *State* and prays for time to argue the matter while Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant, confirms compromise having been effected amongst the parties and prays for time to place the same on record.

Adjourned to 26.10.2020.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211 CRM-27624-2020

-2-

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and fully cooperate with the police. However, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on ad-interim pre-arrest bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting / Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C., failing which, the interim protection granted to the petitioner, shall stand vacated.”

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and the matter has been compromised. Said fact is vouched by Mr.Sandeep Sharma, counsel for the complainant.

Accordingly, keeping in view the above facts and the fact that no weapon was used for the assault and the opinion has been given by a private hospital, this Court is of the opinion that the interim bail order is liable to be confirmed, in the facts and circumstances.

Accordingly, in view of the above, the interim bail granted on 15.09.2020 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

OCTOBER 26, 2020
sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No