

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1877-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.12.2020

Ravinder Paul ...Petitioner

vs.

Bhupinder Singh and another ...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr.P.K. Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, is for initiating action against the respondents for non-compliance with order Annexure P/1 dated 29.08.2019, in CWP-23313-2019.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 29.08.2019, CWP-23319-2019, was disposed of by directing the respondents to decide legal notice dated 07.07.2019, by passing a speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case it was found that the petitioner was entitled to monetary benefits, to release the same to him within three months thereafter.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been supplied copy of speaking order dated 26.10.2020, passed by respondent No.2, as per which, the only benefit remaining to be paid is interest which would be paid to the petitioner after working out the same.

Copy of the order is taken on record.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of such by directing respondent No.2 to make calculations of the amount as is due and payable on account of interest to the petitioner in terms of order dated 26.10.2020, passed by respondent No.2, at the earliest and make the payment on the said count to the petitioner, thereafter.

6. The same is not opposed by learned Asstt. A.G. appearing on behalf of the respondents.

7. Accordingly, in the light of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not pressed at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to make calculations in terms of order dated 26.10.2020, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within six weeks from today and make the payment of interest as per entitlement to the petitioner, within four weeks thereafter. Needless to mention, in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.12.2020

'Rajesh-PS'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No