

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28044-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 25.09.2020

Meenu Arora

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 16.9.2020, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“The petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.99, dated 31.05.2020, registered under Sections 363, 366- A, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division No.1, District Pathankot.

In a nutshell, the case of the prosecution is that the first informant had lodged the FIR alleging that her minor daughter namely Harleen Kaur aged about 17½ years has been enticed away by Ritik and the petitioner had instigated her. The petitioner is the paternal Aunt of Harleen Kaur. It has come on record that Ritik and Harleen Kaur have solemnized the marriage and sought protection from this Court which was granted on 31.07.2020.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab and seeks time to get instructions.

Adjourned to 25.09.2020.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sanjeev Kumar has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation, cooperated and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the order dated 16.9.2020 is hereby made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

25.09.2020
rekha/pawan

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No