

CRR(F)-224-2016

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-224-2016

Date of decision: 19.02.2020

Shallu

.... Petitioner

Versus

Sandeep Soni

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Akanksha Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

Instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner against the judgment dated 06.04.2016 passed by District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak seeking enhancement of maintenance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has urged that the maintenance of Rs.10,000/- per month awarded by the Court below is very meagre and insufficient. It has been further submitted that besides moveable and immoveable properties, the respondent has a printing press from which he is earning Rs.30,000/- per month, if not more and hence, the maintenance awarded should be enhanced accordingly so that the petitioner-wife is able to maintain the same life-style and standard of living, which she was enjoying while staying with the respondent-husband.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the impugned judgment and other material available on record.

On an analysis of the evidence, the Family Court in its impugned order has assessed the monthly income of the petitioner to be Rs.30,000/- per month and awarded Rs.10,000/- per month as maintenance to the petitioner-wife.

In my considered opinion, I do not find any reason to interfere in the impugned order passed by the Court below as the amount assessed is reasonable and cannot be said to be on the lower side.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

19.02.2020
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No