

115 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14238-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 11.09.2020

Renu Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Nitin Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Article 226/ 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondents to include the name of the petitioner in the final result for the post of Clerk.

The grievance of the petitioner is that although she has secured 66 marks against the cut off of 65 marks for BC- A category, however, her name has not been included in the final result for the post of Clerk declared on 3.9.2020.

On the other hand, Sh. Sanjay Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana, who appears for the State of Haryana and Haryana Staff Selection Commission, submits that the petitioner has already made a representation in this regard on 7.9.2020 (Annexure P-9). He submits that the Chairman/Secretary of the Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula would decide the representation within a period of 10 days .

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not have any objection to the aforesaid suggestion of the learned State counsel.

In view of the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the Chairman/Secretary, Staff Selection Commission to decide

the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-9) within 10 days from today. Needless to observe that the petitioner would be granted an opportunity of hearing through video conference before deciding her representation.

Disposed of.

11.09.2020	(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
rekha	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No