

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-3687-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.02.2020

Rajiv Bhalla

...Petitioner

Versus

Mohan Lal and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Puneet Tuli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The tenant-petitioner has filed the present revision petition against the order passed by the learned Rent Controller, affirmed in appeal by the Appellate Authority, ordering his eviction on the ground that he has ceased to occupy the tenanted premises for a continuous period of four months from the date of filing of the petition.

Both the authorities after examining the entire record ordered the eviction. In the present petition, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the official of the Electricity Department has produced the record of the electricity connection of different premises and not of tenanted premises.

Accordingly, Local Commissioner was appointed who after associating the officials of the Electricity Department, submitted a report. It

is apparent that for the period 15.11.2008 to 15.01.2009, total consumption of electricity is 25 units. During four months before filing of the petition, total consumption comes to 36 units. After filing of the petition, total consumption for a period of 2 months is two units only.

In view thereof, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are disposed of, in view of the abovesaid judgment.

19.02.2020

Pawan

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**