

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR(F)- 164-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.01.2020**

Raminder Pal Singh Rangula

... Petitioner

VERSUS

Kamal Preet Kaur and another

.... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. T.S. Khaira, Advocate
 for the respondent.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 401 Cr.P.C. seeking to challenge the order of the District Judge, Family Court Faridabad dated 23.03.2016 whereby maintenance under Section 125 Cr.P.C. had been assessed @ ₹15,000/- per month for the wife and the minor daughter.

Aggrieved against the said order of maintenance, the petitioner herein had filed the instant petition whereby this Court vide order dated 27.11.2018 had directed the petitioner to acquire 50% of the outstanding arrears of maintenance and also to file his statement of account regarding having cleared the arrears with an advance copy to the opposing counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly states that despite every attempt to contact the petitioner, learned counsel is not able to do so but he was able to speak to the father of the petitioner, who also pleaded

ignorance.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that as on date the order dated 27.11.2018 has not been complied with vide which the petitioner was directed to clear 50% of the outstanding arrears of maintenance due and also to file his statement of account regarding having cleared the arrears.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the fact that the petitioner has not come further to establish that he has complied with the order dated 27.11.2018, this petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 17, 2020

seema

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.