

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-7106-2020

Date of Decision : September 11, 2020

Parmod Kumar

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate, for the petitioner.
 Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

...

Sanjay Kumar, J.

The petitioner seeks protection of his life and liberty. He apprehends threat thereto from respondents No.4 and 5.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the case or the truth of the allegations made by the petitioner against the unofficial respondents, there is no necessity to put them on notice or afford them an opportunity of hearing.

The dispute between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents is with regard to property. However, the petitioner claims that he faces a threat from the unofficial respondents and that the police authorities remained unmoved despite his making representations. The

Petitioner seems to have submitted representations dated 15.06.2020 and

13.08.2020 to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, the 2nd respondent herein. Perusal of these representations reflects that the competent Civil Court has already been approached by respondent No.4 and it is presently seized of the matter. Therefore, the only issue that remains to be considered by the police authorities at this stage is whether the petitioner faces any physical threat to his life and liberty and if so, take appropriate action for his protection.

The petition is accordingly disposed of directing the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar (the 2nd respondent herein), to consider the petitioners representations dated 15.06.2020 and 13.08.2020 (Annexures P-1 and P-3, respectively) and take appropriate action thereon in accordance with due procedure.

September 11, 2020
Kang

(Sanjay Kumar)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No