

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126

CRWP-7094-2020

Date of decision : 25.11.2020.

Pushpa and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that a fair and impartial investigation be carried out in the matter as the Investigating Officer ASI Kewal Singh was trying to help the accused and he did not record the statements of the petitioners and other victims.

Learned State counsel has drawn my attention to the affidavit dated 10.10.2020 filed by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Kartarpur, Jalandhar wherein it is stated that in place of ASI Kewal Singh, SI Baldev Singh has been made the investigating officer. Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Balraj Singh, contends that earlier ASI Kewal Singh was made the Investigating officer but now SI Balraj Singh has been handed over the investigation of the case after the retirement of SI Baldev Singh and he has recorded the statements of the petitioners and the victims.

In view of the submissions of learned State counsel, the grievance of the petitioners has been substantially addressed at this stage and the petition stands disposed of at this stage.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 25, 2020

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No