

CRWP-7087-2020(O&M)
Date of decision : 11.09.2020

Karan and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Tandon, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S.Virk, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No.1 is major and petitioner No.2 has attained the age of discretion. Both the petitioners intend to perform marriage against the wishes of respondent Nos.5 to 7, who are the family members of petitioner No.2. They are living together in a live-in-relationship. Cites *Rajwinder Kaur and another Vs. State of Punjab and others, 2014 (4) RCR (Criminal) 785 (DB)* to contend that marriage is not must for security to runaway couple.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S.Virk, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of the relationship and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-

of Police, Ambala to take necessary action on the representation dated 18.08.2020 (Annexures P-3) moved by petitioner No.2 and representation dated 08.09.2020 (Annexure P-4) respectively preferred by the petitioners and provide necessary protection to the petitioners in accordance with law, in case, they are satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.5 to 7.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

11.09.2020
hemlata

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No