

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

209

**CRM-M-27341-2020 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 16.09.2020.**

Pritam

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

CRM-22670-2020

Allowed, as prayed for.

Main Case

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Pritam** in case FIR No.203 dated 09.10.2019 under Section 379-B IPC read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act (Sections 392 and 397 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Dujana, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 18.10.2019 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose. He further submits that

of the complainant has also been recorded. He further urges that since consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 18.10.2019; that petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose; that challan has already been presented in Court and since consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner-**Pritam** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Jhajjar, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

16.09.2020
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No