

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-1840-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.09.2020

Ashok Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Vikas Partap, IAS and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, alleging violation of the order dated 11.07.2019 passed in CWP-18646-2019. The operative part whereof reads as under:-

“5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to objectively consider the legal notice dated 06.10.2018 (Annexure P-3) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in the present writ petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and pass a speaking order, in accordance with law.

6. Let the needful be done within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

7. Disposed of in above terms.”

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab has appeared on behalf of the respondents and submits on instructions from respondent No.2 that the order shall be complied with, within a period of 10 days.

Keeping in view the aforesaid statement, the present petition is disposed of. However, if the order is not complied with, the petitioners shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the present petition. This is the last opportunity granted to the respondents to comply with the order, failing which, the respondents shall be liable to be burdened with a tentative costs of Rs.25,000/-.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are disposed of, in view of the aforesaid order.

10.09.2020

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No