

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

120

CRWP-7057-2020

Date of decision : 10.09.2020

Seema and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 27 and 21 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners performed marriage on 07.09.2020 at Sarvjan Sukhay Om Ganesh Shri Sarvjan Hitay Tridev Snatan Dharam Prachar Samiti (Regd.), Booth No.249, MDC, Sector 4, Panchkula as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.5 to 8 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy of the petition, Mr. Naven Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 07.09.2020 (Annexure P-6) was made to respondents No.2 to 4 seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

Learned State Counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Rohtak and respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against petitioner No.2, then nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar to taking of appropriate action by the police authorities against him in respect thereof in accordance with law.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Rohtak and respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani for requisite compliance.

10.09.2020

Kothiyal

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

121

CRWP-7058-2020

Date of decision : 10.09.2020

Himani and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Kushaldeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners, who claiming themselves to be aged about 21 and 28 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners performed marriage on 07.09.2020 at Prachin Pashupati Nath Shiv Mandir (Regd.) MDC, Sector 6, Panchkula, Haryana as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy of the petition, Mr. Harbir Sandhu, Asstt. A.G. Punjab has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 07.09.2020 (Annexure P-5) was made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

Learned State Counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

CRWP-7058-2020

-2-

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against petitioner No.2, then nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar to taking of appropriate action by the police authorities against him in respect thereof in accordance with law.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur for requisite compliance.

10.09.2020

Kothiylal

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

122

CRWP-7059-2020

Date of decision : 10.09.2020

Navalpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Ranbir Sekhon, Advocate for
Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners, who claiming themselves to be aged about 26 and 34 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners performed marriage on 03.09.2020 at Kamleshwar Valmiki Education Trust (Reg.) India, House No.26/48, Gillan Wali Street, Bharat Nagar (Basti Bhattian), Ferozepur City as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 10 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy of the petition, Mr. Harbir Sandhu, Asstt. A.G. Punjab has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 03.09.2020 (Annexure P-5) was made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

Learned State Counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against petitioner No.2, then nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar to taking of appropriate action by the police authorities against him in respect thereof in accordance with law.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot for requisite compliance.

10.09.2020

Kothiwal

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

123

CRWP-7060-2020

Date of decision : 10.09.2020

Sapna and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 24 and 26 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners performed marriage on 05.09.2020 at Sh. Balaji Jayotish (Kendra) Mandir, Mata Mansa Devi Market, Sector-4, Panchkula as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy of the petition, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. Public Prosecutor for U.T. Chandigarh has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 05.09.2020 (Annexure P-5) was made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

Learned State Counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against petitioner No.2, then nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar to taking of appropriate action by the police authorities against him in respect thereof in accordance with law.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh for requisite compliance.

10.09.2020

Kothiylal

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

CRWP-5449-2020

VINOD UPPAL AND ORS VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

Present : Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhbir Singh, Asstt. A.G. Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Munish Jolly, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the danger at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Since there is a family dispute between the parties, I consider it appropriate that the matter be referred to Mediation and Conciliation Center of this Court for exploring the possibility of amicable settlement between the parties.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners, learned State Counsel and learned Counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 have no objection if the matter is referred to Medication and Conciliation Center of this Court.

Accordingly, the parties are directed to appear in the Mediation and Conciliation Center of this Court for mediation in person or through video conferencing as may be considered appropriate on such date as notified to them by the concerned officer Incharge of the Mediation and Conciliation Center/Mediator as the case may be.

Case is adjourned to 09.11.2020 for awaiting the report from the Medication and Conciliation Center of this Court.

10.09.2020

kothiyal

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE