

CRWP-7065-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7065-2020

Date of decision:06.10.2020

Shinder Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Surabhi Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 12.

Status report dated 01.10.2020 by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Guhla, District Kaithal, filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 with the Registry of this Court, is taken on record.

Petitioner No.1 is a married woman and is having a living spouse and children, whereas petitioner No.2, who is a confectioner (halwai) by profession, is also having a living spouse and six children – five daughters and one son.

CRWP-7065-2020

As per the status report dated 01.10.2020, respondent No.4- husband of petitioner No.1, has got registered FIR bearing No.139 dated 25.08.2020, under Section 365 IPC, Police Station Guhla, with the allegations that he has been married with petitioner No.1 for the last 20 years; that on the night of 22.08.2020, at about 11.00 p.m, when he woke up, his wife-petitioner No.1 had not been found on her bed and that while leaving the house, she had also taken away with her, gold weighing 3 tollas and Rs.50,000/- in cash. It is also stated in the status report that there is no threat to their life and liberty and moreover, no date, time and place and at whose instance the alleged threat was advanced, has been mentioned.

The petitioners are living in a live-in-relationship without getting any divorce from their spouses. As stated above, there is an FIR got registered by respondent No.4 against his wife-petitioner No.1.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for.

Dismissed.

06.10.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No