

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****206****CRM-M-27170-2020****Date of Decision: 04.12.2020**

Daljit Kaur & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILLPresent: Mr. Rahul Vijay Singh Chugh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Hardeep Singh.*(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)***GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.211 dated 18.08.2020, at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur, under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 10.09.2020, this Court passed the following order:

“The allegations as per the FIR are that the petitioners along with their daughter Sukhpreet Kaur had cheated the complainant of an amount of Rs.25 lacs. It is alleged that Sukhpreet Kaur had solemnized marriage with the complainant and it had been agreed that the Sukhpreet Kaur who was studying in Canada will do

the needful for taking the complainant to Canada and that in the meantime the complainant would incur all the expenditure for study of Sukhpreet Kaur in Canada. It is alleged that although an amount of Rs.25 lacs was spent on education of Sukhpreet Kaur at Canada but she never took along the complainant to Canada.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners who are parents of Sukhpreet Kaur have falsely been roped in the present case and that in fact all efforts were made to take the complainant to the Canada but his Visa application was declined by the concerned authorities and that no fault can be attributed to the petitioners.

Notice of motion for 4.12.2020.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioners be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel has informed that pursuant to the interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.
4. It has also been informed by the learned counsel for the petitioners that in fact the matter stands amicably resolved amongst the parties and a petition i.e. CRM-M-39020-2020 has already been filed in this Court for quashing of FIR on the ground of compromise.
5. In view of the aforestated position, wherein the petitioners have already joined the investigation and the matter is stated to have

been compromised, the petition, as such, is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 10.09.2020 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

04.12.2020

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**