

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218/2

**CRM-M-28125 of 2020
Date of Decision: 24.11.2020**

Sanjay Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

2)

CRM-M-27403 of 2020

Dhruv Srivastav and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Neeraj Gaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-28125 of 2020).

Mr. Padam Kant Dwivedi, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-27403 of 2020)

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Vide these petitions, the petitioners seek to be admitted to bail in terms of the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., FIR no.154, dated 15.08.2020, having been registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Gurugram,

alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 419, 420 and 120-B of the IPC and Section 66-D of the IT Act.

Both learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that the matter has been compromised with the complainant, with Mr. Yashwinder Singh appearing for the complainant also not denying that fact and submitting that the complainant has received the amount that he was duped of.

A copy of the affidavit stated to have been executed by the complainant is also taken on record as Annexure P-4.

Upon query to learned State counsel, he submits that though he has no information as regards the compromise, there is no other criminal case registered against three of the petitioners, with one other case of similar nature registered against petitioner Sonu.

Mr. Gaur appearing for the said petitioner submits that even in that case the matter has been compromised with the complainant.

That being so, with learned counsel for the complainant also admitting to the factum of the compromise, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, both these petitions are allowed, with the petitioners ordered to be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

A copy of this order be placed on the file of the other connected matter too.

November 24, 2020
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No