

CRWP No.7082 of 2020
Date of decision : 11.09.2020

Gayatree and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Dhaman Preet Singh Bajwa, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S.Virk, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

* * * * *

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioner No.1 was married in the year 1999 with respondent No.5-Sonu, who is a habitual drunkard and used to harass and torture her. Respondent No.5 turned her out of the matrimonial home on 04.09.2020 and since then she is residing with petitioner No.2 in a live-in-relationship out of her sweet will. The petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their relationship. Their relationship is opposed by respondent Nos. 5 to 7, who are the husband and family members of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S.Virk, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of relationship and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the

instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sonipat and respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Jind to take necessary action on the representation dated 04.09.2020 (Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioners and provide necessary protection to the petitioners in accordance with law, in case, they are satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.5 to 7.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

11.09.2020
hemlata

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No