

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27408-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.09.2020**

Akhilesh @ Diva

... Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Ms. Pratula Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.M. Punchhi, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.308, dated 13.10.2018, under Sections 302/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-11, Chandigarh.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

During the course of arguments, it has gone uncontroverted that co-accused Suraj @ Adha and who would be similarly situated as the present petitioner has been granted benefit of bail by this Court vide order dated 27.08.2020 in CRM-M-22511-2020.

On the ground of parity itself, present petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail by adopting the same reasoning in the order dated 27.08.2020 in **CRM-M-22511-2020 (Suraj @ Adha Vs. State of U.T. Chandigarh).**

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed of.

22.09.2020

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |