101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.27177 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.09.2020

Angrej

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. M.K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.183 dated 30.05.2020 registered under Sections 406 and 420 IPC at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that as per the allegations in the FIR, the complainant has deposited Rs.10 lacs i.e. Rs.5 lacs and Rs.5 lacs in the account of Pooja Overseas Services/Student Service and the petitioner was an employee of the said company. It is further submitted that Neeraj, husband of Pooja has already been arrested and there are no direct allegations against the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who is appearing through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has argued that after the arrest of Neeraj, it has

MOHD YAKUB
2020.09.10 15:29
I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.

come in the disclosure statement that the petitioner was working as an agent and he used to get commission as and when he would bring a party in the said company. It is further submitted that there are specific allegations that the petitioner was, in fact, working as a mediator between Pooja Overseas Services/Student Service and the complainant and the entire payment was made through the petitioner and therefore, he was part of the conspiracy. It is also submitted that another FIR No.339 dated 01.08.2020 stands registered against all the accused at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa, with similar allegations as they have cheated other persons also.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner, Pooja and Neeraj that they have duped the complainant for the amount of Rs.30 lacs on the pretext of sending him and his family to USA and the petitioner was in active participation, in enticing the complainant to pay the amount, I find no ground to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

10.09.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No

MOHD YAKUB
2020.09.10 15:29
I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.