

In virtual Court

CRM-M-26886-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26886-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.09.2020

Jashanpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

This is 2nd petition for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.48 dated 20.06.2018 under Sections 307, 341, 323, 427, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur; earlier one (CRM-M-55895-2018) was dismissed on 15.01.2019, by passing a detailed order, which is reproduced as under: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner along with other co-accused has caused injuries to the brother of complainant, namely Gobind Singh. It is further stated that there is a dispute with regard to an agreement to sell and co-accused Satnam Singh has been arrested.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner was armed only with

a stick.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that allegations against the petitioner are of serious nature.

Learned counsel for the complainant has referred to the FIR wherein, it is stated that all the accused persons have caused as many as eight injuries, out of which, three injuries were on the head of the complainant's brother.

Learned counsel for the complainant has further submitted that anticipatory bail application of co-accused Charanjeet Singh was dismissed by this Court by passing the following order on 24.08.2018 in CRM-M-34857-2018:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the dispute is with regard to an agreement to sell. It is further submitted that as per the FIR, Sinderjit Singh, Charanjit Singh, Jashanpreet Singh, Jaskaran Singh and the present petitioner have caused injuries on the head/vital part of body of brother of the complainant, namely Gobind Singh. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurbal Singh, has submitted that the injured/victim has received as many as 08 injuries, out of which 03 injuries were on the head. Learned State counsel has further referred to the MLR of Gobind Singh, in which the following injuries have been

reflected: -

“1. 1 cm lacerated wound 1 horizontal in direction, on left temple. Fresh bleeding present. Advised surgical opinion. 2. Swelling 8 cm x 6 cm on left temple area. Advised surgical opinion. 3. Bleeding left ear. Advised surgical opinion. 4. Bruise, bluish in colour 3 cm x 4 cm on front of right wrist. Advised X-ray. 5. Lacerated wound, horizontal linear on front of right knee. Advised X-ray. 6. Bruise 8 cm x 4 cm red colour, in front of left thigh, oblique in direction. Xray advised. 7. Two bruises red in colour 6 cm x 3 cm x 2 cm x 1 cm on outer and front of left thigh. Advised X-ray. 8. Swelling and pain left shoulder. Advised Xray.” Learned State counsel has further submitted that two co-accused of the petitioner namely Satnam Singh and Sinderjit Singh have been arrested and the petitioner is also attributed an injury on the head of the complainant. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the gravity of allegations and nature of injuries sustained by the complainant, I find no ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Petition is dismissed.”

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I find no ground to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as he is attributed an injury caused on the head of the brother of complainant including number of other injuries caused at the

In virtual Court

CRM-M-26886-2020

-4-

hands of other co-accused.

Therefore, the present petition is dismissed.”

Despite lapse of long time, the petitioner has not surrendered and is not allowing the investigating agency to complete the investigation and is seeking anticipatory bail on similar ground.

In view of the above, present petition is dismissed.

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

09.09.2020

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No